

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,169	07/25/2006	Hajime Suzuki	L7016.06103	7265
24257 7550 09/17/2009 Dickinson Wight PLLC James E. Ledbetter, Esq. International Square 1875 Eye Street, NW., Suite 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20006			EXAMINER	
			DOTE, JANIS L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2009	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Art Unit: 1795

## Attachment to Advisory Action form PTOL-303:

- 1. The proposed amendments to claims 1 and 4 filed on Mar. 6, 2009, after the mailing of the final rejection on Jan. 6, 2009, raise new issues. The proposed amendment to claim 1 deletes the limitation that "the undercoat layer [which has a two-layer structure] has a thickness of 5.0-50 µm" (emphasis added). The two-layer structure comprises a first layer comprising a polyimide and a second layer. The proposed amendment to claim 1 further adds the limitation that -- the first layer [containing the polyimide] has a thickness of 5.0 -50.0 µm -- (emphasis added). The proposed amendment to claim 4 now requires that both lavers in the two-laver structure comprise titanium oxide. The resulting limitations in claims 1 and 4 were not present in the claims when the final rejection was mailed. Thus, further consideration and/or a search would be necessary to evaluate the patentability of the newly added subject matter.
- 2. The examiner's refusal to enter the after-final amendment filed on Mar. 6, 2009, renders applicants' arguments moot regarding said amendment. Accordingly, instant claims 1, 4, 9, 11, 14-16, 19, and 20 stand rejected for the reasons discussed in the final rejection.